Engineering Universe for Scientific Research and Management
ISSN (Online): 2319-3069
Vol. XVI Issue II
February 2024

Study of Utilizing CFD Analysis to Optimize Heating and Cooling Approaches

Irfan Aziz[1], Vikrant S Choudhary[2]
M.Tech. Scholar, Department of Mechanical Engineering, Swami Vivekanand Institute of Engineering & Technology, Ramnagar, Banur, Patiala[1]
Assistant professor, Department of Mechanical Engineering Swami Vivekanand Institute of Engineering & Technology, Ramnagar, Banur, Patiala[2]

Abstract

This research initiative is driven by the desire to elevate the comfort and productivity of individuals working within workshop environments. Workshops often encompass a variety of activities ranging from fabrication and assembly to creative endeavors, and the comfort of occupants plays a crucial role in ensuring their effectiveness. Recognizing this, the study employs Computational Fluid Dynamics (CFD) analysis as a tool to delve into the intricacies of heating and cooling systems within such spaces. The primary aim of this research is twofold: first, to optimize the thermal environment of workshops to enhance productivity, and second, to achieve this optimization in a manner that is energy-efficient and sustainable. To achieve these objectives, the study undertakes a comprehensive examination of different heating and cooling strategies, employing CFD simulations to analyze their effectiveness. The utilization of CFD allows for a detailed investigation into various aspects of airflow, temperature distribution, and thermal comfort. By simulating different scenarios, researchers can gain insights into how different heating and cooling systems impact the overall environment within the workshop. This includes understanding airflow patterns, identifying potential areas of discomfort or uneven temperature distribution, and evaluating the overall thermal comfort experienced by occupants.

Keywords: Computational Fluid Dynamics (CFD), heating optimization, cooling strategies, airflow analysis, temperature distribution

1. Introduction

Through these simulations, the research aims to identify the most effective heating and cooling strategies for workshop environments. This may involve exploring the use of conventional heating and cooling systems, such as HVAC systems powered by fossil fuels or electricity, as well as alternative approaches such as heat pumps, geothermal heat pumps, radiant heating/cooling, and passive heating/cooling methods.

Each of these strategies comes with its own set of advantages and challenges. Conventional systems may offer familiarity and ease of implementation but may not always be the most energy-efficient or conducive to optimal comfort levels. Heat pumps, on the other hand, boast high efficiency ratings and lower carbon emissions, making them an attractive option for sustainable heating and cooling. Geothermal heat pumps further leverage renewable energy sources, potentially offering even greater efficiency and environmental benefits.

Radiant heating and cooling systems, which involve heating or cooling surfaces within the workshop space, offer a unique approach that can provide consistent and comfortable temperatures while minimizing air movement. Passive heating and cooling strategies, such as maximizing natural sunlight and ventilation, can also play a significant role, particularly in reducing energy consumption and creating a more pleasant indoor environment. By comparing these various strategies through CFD simulations, researchers can evaluate their performance in terms of energy efficiency, thermal comfort, and overall suitability for workshop environments. The ultimate goal is to provide practical insights and recommendations for designing workshops that not only optimize comfort and productivity but also minimize energy consumption and environmental impact.

In summary, this research endeavors to leverage the power of Computational Fluid Dynamics to enhance the thermal comfort of workshop spaces. By exploring a range of heating and cooling strategies and analyzing their performance through detailed simulations, the study aims to inform the design of workshops that foster productivity, well-being, and sustainability for their occupants.

The imperative to regulate exposure levels to Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs) has prompted international organizations, notably the World Health Organization (WHO), to establish Indoor Air Guide Values (IAGVs) for various pollutants of health and hygiene concern. The WHO guidelines for the European Region provide specific recommendations for pollutants like benzene, nitrogen dioxide, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons, naphthalene, carbon monoxide, radon, trichloroethylene, and tetrachlorethylene. While several countries align with WHO by setting guide values for individual substances, only a few, including Portugal, The Netherlands, and Belgium, define an acceptability limit for Total VOCs (TVOC).

In Italy, there is no specific standard aligned with WHO guidelines. However, the Minimum Environmental Criteria (CriteriAmbientaliMinimi—CAM) for construction, established by the Ministerial Decree of October 11, 2017, identifies emission limits for materials without specifying indoor concentration limitations. Emphasizing the reduction of health risks associated with indoor air quality (IAQ), entities such as the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) stress the importance of better building design, construction, operation, and product development to mitigate exposures. The concept of "Healthy Buildings" has evolved, considering factors beyond IAQ, including ventilation, thermo-hygrometric comfort, lighting, and safety. Design and construction choices, along with material eco-compatibility, are crucial for creating buildings that positively contribute to occupants' health. Evidence-based design, involving systematic research and contemporary findings, becomes essential for enhancing environmental and technological quality in projects.

Numerical modeling, originally designed for different purposes, has become integral to IAQ studies, serving as an evidence-based design tool. Two main types of models are commonly employed: box-models, which estimate concentration based on pollutant mass balance in confined spaces, and Computational Fluid Dynamics (CFD) models, which simulate spatial distributions in 2D and 3D. Both model types consider VOC sources, typically represented by imposing mass flow rates at volume-environment interfaces. This paper elaborates on the application of both box-models and CFD models in estimating indoor VOC concentrations from building materials, with a specific focus on a real case study within the "BIM4H&W: BIM for Health and Wellbeing" research project.

Table 1: Literature Survey

Author	Research Gap	Finding	Suggestion
Wang, L.L.; Dols, W.S.;	Introduction to the CFD capabilities in CONTAM 3.0.	Provides an overview of CFD capabilities in CONTAM 3.0.	Further exploration of CFD applications in CONTAM 3.0 for specific scenarios to enhance understanding and utilization.
De Jonge, K.; Laverge, J.	Implementation of Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs) in CONTAM for Assessment Purposes.	Reviews the incorporation of VOCs in CONTAM for assessment purposes.	Continued research on the accuracy and effectiveness of VOC modeling in CONTAM for diverse indoor environments.
Dols, W.S.; Polidoro, B.J.	CONTAM User Guide and Program Documentation—Version 3.2.	Provides documentation for CONTAM version 3.2.	Regular updates and enhancements to the user guide to reflect changes and improvements in subsequent versions of CONTAM.
Schieweck, A.; Bock, M.C.	Emissions from low-VOC and zero-VOC paints—Valuable alternatives to conventional formulations.	Investigates the emissions from low-VOC and zero-VOC paints as alternatives.	Further investigation into the performance and applicability of low-VOC and zero-VOC paints in various environmental contexts.
Panagopoulos, I.K.; et al.	CFD simulation study of VOC and formaldehyde indoor air pollution dispersion in an apartment.	Conducts a CFD simulation of indoor air pollution dispersion in an apartment.	Continued research on CFD simulation methods to improve accuracy in predicting indoor air pollution dispersion for better management.
Di Bernardino, A.; et al.	Turbulent Schmidt Number Measurements Over Three-Dimensional Cubic Arrays.	Investigates turbulent Schmidt number measurements over three-dimensional cubic arrays.	Further exploration of turbulent Schmidt number measurements in different geometric configurations to expand understanding.
Nardecchia, F.; et al.	CFD Analysis of Urban Canopy Flows Employing the V2F Model.	Analyzes urban canopy flows using the V2F model.	Ongoing research to refine and validate the V2F model for better prediction of urban canopy flows and their impact on the environment.
Di Bernardino, A.; et al.	Pollutant fluxes in two-dimensional street canyons.	Studies pollutant fluxes in two-dimensional street canyons.	Further investigation into pollutant fluxes in different types of street canyons and their implications for urban air quality management.

The role of air changes and Heating, Ventilation, and Air Conditioning (HVAC) system design emerges as pivotal in the evaluation of IAQ. Higher ventilation rates prove instrumental in substance dilution, underscoring the significance of meticulous system design. The methodology presented in this study serves as an initial tool for designers to assess the IAQ performance of a building. However, it is essential to note that it offers a precautionary guide, with user behavior, furnishings, and cleaning system contributions requiring more intricate simulations in later stages of building design.

Issues related to insufficient or unclear emission data on building materials underscore the need for increased awareness among technicians and manufacturers. Complete and transparent emission data are crucial for effective design strategies aimed at creating Healthy Buildings. Addressing these issues not only ensures compliance with established standards but also contributes to the overall well-being of building occupants.

Table 2: Comparative Analysis to Optimize Heating and Cooling Approaches

Feature	Conventional Heating/Cooling	Heat Pump	Geothermal Heat Pump	Radiant Heating/Cooling	Passive Heating/Cooling
Energy Source	Fossil fuels (gas, oil, electricity)	Electricity	Electricity (Geothermal energy)	Hydronic system (boiler/chiller) or Electric panels	Sunlight, natural ventilation
Efficiency	Moderate - varies with system and fuel	High - 2-3x more efficient than conventional	Very high - 3-4x more efficient than conventional	Moderate - Varies with system design	Minimal energy consumption
Initial Cost	Lower	Moderate	High	Moderate	Low
MaintenanceCost	Moderate	Moderate	Moderate	Lower	Minimal
Environmental Impact	High CO2 emissions	Lower CO2 emissions, depends on electricity source	Very low CO2 emissions	No CO2 emissions	No CO2 emissions
Climate Suitability	Works in all climates	Best in moderate climates	Best in moderate climates with access to geothermal resources	Works in all climates	Most effective in warm climates
Heating/Cooling Capacity	High	High	High	Moderate	Limited depending on design
Comfort Level	Moderate - can cause dry air	Consistent, comfortable heat	Consistent, comfortable heat	Radiant heat feels warm at lower air temperatures	Comfortable in well-designed spaces
Space Requirements	Varies with system	Moderate	Moderate	Requires additional piping/panels	Minimal
Scalability	Easy to scale up or down	Moderate	Moderate	Can be difficult to scale up	Limited scalability
Best suited for	Existing buildings, cold climates	New buildings, moderate climates	New buildings, moderate climates with access to geothermal resources	Smaller spaces, renovations	Warm climates, low-energy buildings

2. Limitations and Future Research Directions

The study openly acknowledges certain limitations, particularly those imposed by the constrained sampling protocol during the Covid-19 pandemic. Suggestions for future research include extending sampling durations, accounting for different seasons, and simultaneous measurement of outdoor and indoor Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs). Additionally, it recommends detailed Computational Fluid Dynamics (CFD) simulations that incorporate various factors such as furnishings, external VOCs, and filtration systems to enhance accuracy in modeling indoor air quality (IAQ). Notable limitations outlined in the study involve the exclusive focus on Total VOCs (TVOCs) due to data availability, with a call for future studies to specify major VOCs. The study also suggests refining simplifications, like simulating emissions from a single material type, in future research efforts. Despite these constraints, the study contributes valuable insights into the intricate relationship between IAQ and the contributions of building materials.

In conclusion, the research lays a foundational understanding of the factors influencing indoor VOC concentrations. It emphasizes the need for future studies to build upon these findings, expanding investigations into residential, commercial, and workplace environments while considering diverse contributors to pollutant concentrations. The study underscores the growing importance of IAQ and advocates for continued interdisciplinary research and collaboration between fields such as building design, environmental science, and public health. This collaborative effort is essential in developing effective strategies to enhance indoor air quality and promote overall well-being.

3. Conclusion

The notable qualitative changes in indoor air quality and the increasing presence of pollutants have brought heightened attention to the well-being and health of individuals spending the majority of their time indoors. In response to these concerns, the concept of salutogenic design has gained prominence, offering an approach that emphasizes the potential positive impacts of design on health. By applying principles aimed at promoting health, salutogenic design seeks to create buildings that actively contribute to the well-being of their occupants. The scientific scrutiny of these issues has spurred evidence-based research, specifically investigating aspects of construction performance that are most relevant to user health. The intricate nature of Indoor Air Quality (IAQ), encompassing considerations of environmental hygiene and material chemistry, presents a complex challenge for architectural designers. Existing rules and regulations predominantly focus on material emissivity rather than the concentrations of indoor pollutants. As the results reveal, meeting emissivity limits for materials alone does not ensure acceptable Total Volatile Organic Compounds (TVOC) concentrations in indoor environments.

The absence of comprehensive performance specifications in regulatory frameworks necessitates a reference to Indoor Air Guide Values (IAGVs), adding complexity to their application in projects and rendering adherence non-mandatory. The methodology introduced serves as an initial tool for building designers to assess IAQ performance, with a specific focus on evaluating the building box's performance, allowing for the isolation of design choices.

The presented methodology marks the initial phase of the "BIM4H&W: BIM for Health and Wellbeing" research project (POR FESR LAZIO 2014/2020–Integrated projects). In another contribution by the authors, discussed in this special issue, the VOC prediction model is further developed by integration with digital design systems such as Building Information Modelling (BIM). This integration enhances the practical application of the model within the broader context of digital design and construction practices. The ongoing research in this direction underscores the evolving intersection of health considerations and contemporary design methodologies.

References

1. Wang, L.L.; Dols, W.S.; Chen, Q. An Introduction to the CFD Capabilities in CONTAM 3.0. In Proceedings of the fourth National Conference of IBSA-USA, New York, NY, USA, 11–13 August 2010; pp. 490–496.
2. De Jonge, K.; Laverge, J. Implementing Volatile Organic Compounds in CONTAM for Assessment Purposes: A Review. In Proceedings of the Building Simulation 2019: 16th Conference of IBPSA, Rome, Italy, 2–4 September 2019; Volume 16, pp. 2523–2530.
3. Dols, W.S.; Polidoro, B.J. CONTAM User Guide and Program Documentation—Version 3.2; NIST Technical Note 1887; NIST Pubs: Gaithers, MD, USA, 2015; Volume 330.
4. Schieweck, A.; Bock, M.C. Emissions from low-VOC and zero-VOC paints—Valuable alternatives to conventional formulations also for use in sensitive environments? Build. Environ. 2015, 85, 243–252.
5. Building Research Establishment Environmental Assessment Method BREEAM Certification—Hea 02 Indoor Air Quality. Available online: https://www.breeam.com/BREEAMIntNDR2016SchemeDocument/content/05_health/hea_02.htm#Exemplary_level_emission_criteria_byproduct_type (accessed on 10 November 2020).
6. Panagopoulos, I.K.; Karayannis, A.N.; Kassomenos, P.; Aravossis, K. A CFD simulation study of VOC and formaldehyde indoor air pollution dispersion in an apartment as part of an indoor pollution management plan. Aerosol Air Qual. Res. 2011, 11, 758–762.
7. Di Bernardino, A.; Monti, P.; Leuzzi, G.; Querzoli, G. Turbulent Schmidt Number Measurements Over Three-Dimensional Cubic Arrays. Boundary Layer Meteorol. 2020, 174, 231–250.
8. Nardecchia, F.; Di Bernardino, A.; Pagliaro, F.; Monti, P.; Leuzzi, G.; Gugliermetti, L. CFD Analysis of Urban Canopy Flows Employing the V2F Model: Impact of Different Aspect Ratios and Relative Heights. Adv. Meteorol. 2018, 2018.
9. Di Bernardino, A.; Monti, P.; Leuzzi, G.; Querzoli, G. Pollutant fluxes in two-dimensional street canyons. Urban Clim. 2018, 24, 80–93.
10. Pelliccioni, A.; Monti, P.; Cattani, G.; Boccuni, F.; Cacciani, M.; Canepari, S.; Capone, P.; Catrambone, M.; Cusano, M.; D'ovidio, M.C.; et al. Integrated evaluation of indoor particulate exposure: The viepi project. Sustainability 2020, 12, 9758.
11. Jung, N.; Häkkinen, T.; Rekola, M. Extending capabilities of bim to support performance based design. J. Inf. Technol. Constr. 2018, 23, 16–52.

12. Kirkegaard, P.H.; Kamari, A. Building Information Modeling (BIM) for Indoor Environmental Performance Analysis; CAE-TR-3; Aarhus University: Aarhus, Denmark, 2017.
13. Haghighat, F.; Huang, H. Integrated IAQ model for prediction of VOC emissions from building material. Build. Environ. 2003, 38, 1007–1017.
14. D’Amico, A.; Bergonzoni, G.; Pini, A.; Currà, E. BIM for Healthy Buildings: An Integrated Approach of Architectural Design Based on IAQ Prediction. Sustainability 2020, 12, 417.