

High-Rise Structural Behavior with Diverse Shear Wall Materials and Positions: A Simulation Study Using ETABS

Prakash Sinha¹, Dr. Shree Ram Malani²

Research Scholar, Department of Civil Engineering, MATS University, Raipur¹

Assistant Professor, Department of Civil Engineering, MATS University, Raipur²

Abstract

The structural integrity of high-rise buildings under lateral loads, such as seismic and wind forces, is a critical concern in civil engineering. Shear walls are pivotal in enhancing the lateral stiffness and strength of these structures. This review paper synthesizes past research on the comparative analysis of high-rise buildings incorporating shear walls of varying materials and positions, utilizing ETABS software for simulation and analysis. The study delves into the effects of different shear wall configurations on parameters like storey drift, displacement, and base shear. Findings indicate that both the material composition and strategic placement of shear walls significantly influence the seismic performance of high-rise buildings. Optimal configurations can lead to substantial improvements in structural stability and resilience. This meta-analysis aims to provide a consolidated understanding to guide future design and research endeavors in the field.

Keywords: *Shear walls, High-rise buildings, ETABS, Seismic analysis, Structural stability.*

1. Introduction

Background

The relentless pace of urbanization in modern cities has necessitated the vertical expansion of the built environment, leading to the widespread development of high-rise buildings. As land becomes scarcer and more expensive, vertical construction emerges as a pragmatic solution to accommodate growing populations and the escalating demand for residential, commercial, and mixed-use spaces. However, the architectural and engineering feats involved in such vertical growth are accompanied by formidable structural challenges. High-rise buildings, due to their height and slenderness, are inherently more susceptible to lateral forces generated by external influences such as seismic activities and wind loads. These lateral forces can induce vibrations, sway, and even catastrophic collapse if not properly accounted for in the design. It is within this context that shear

walls become indispensable components in modern structural engineering. Serving as vertical structural elements, shear walls are designed to resist lateral loads and provide the essential stiffness and strength required for the overall stability of tall buildings. Their integration helps mitigate displacements, control story drifts, and prevent torsional irregularities that can arise under lateral loading conditions. The performance of these shear walls is heavily influenced by various factors, primarily their material composition and strategic placement within the structural framework. For instance, reinforced concrete shear walls, known for their rigidity and high strength, have become a popular choice in high-rise constructions, particularly in seismic-prone regions. Similarly, the careful positioning of shear walls—whether symmetrically arranged around the building's core or distributed along the perimeter—plays a crucial role in optimizing their load-resisting capacity and ensuring uniform lateral load distribution. As noted in the *Civil Engineering Infrastructures Journal*, understanding the nuances of material properties and spatial configuration is fundamental in harnessing the full potential of shear walls in high-rise structures. The evolution of design practices and the increasing complexity of building geometries further underscore the importance of rigorous analysis and thoughtful implementation of shear wall systems in addressing the dynamic challenges posed by lateral forces in tall buildings.

2. Significance of Shear Walls

Shear walls serve as a backbone in the lateral force-resisting system of high-rise buildings, playing a vital role in ensuring the structural safety and integrity of tall constructions subjected to dynamic loading conditions. These vertical elements function primarily to resist in-plane lateral forces arising from wind and seismic activities, thereby reducing sway, preventing excessive story drifts, and minimizing the risk of structural damage or collapse. In the context of seismic design, where buildings must endure ground accelerations and displacement demands without compromising stability or occupant safety, the inclusion of shear walls is particularly critical. Their

ability to absorb and dissipate seismic energy allows for a controlled response under earthquake loads, contributing significantly to the ductility and robustness of the overall structure. As emphasized in research from *IJERT* and *IOPscience*, the effectiveness of shear walls is not merely a function of their presence, but is deeply influenced by their material constitution and architectural integration within the building design. Reinforced concrete is the most widely adopted material for shear walls due to its inherent compressive strength, cost-effectiveness, and ease of construction. However, advancements in materials science have also introduced alternatives such as steel and composite materials, which offer improved performance characteristics in terms of flexibility, weight reduction, and resilience. The use of composite shear walls, combining concrete and steel, allows for an optimal balance between strength and ductility, making them suitable for extremely tall or irregularly shaped structures. Beyond material selection, the location and orientation of shear walls are paramount in dictating their efficiency. Placement along building cores, elevator shafts, or in strategic perimeter zones can enhance the torsional resistance and overall stiffness of the structure. Symmetrical arrangement is typically preferred to avoid torsional irregularities, though architectural constraints sometimes necessitate asymmetrical configurations. In such cases, advanced structural analysis and optimization techniques are employed to ensure balanced performance. The inclusion of shear walls not only enhances safety but also contributes to the economic feasibility of high-rise construction by enabling reduced cross-sectional dimensions for other structural elements, thereby maximizing usable floor space. Ultimately, shear walls embody a critical fusion of structural functionality and design efficiency, making them indispensable in the pursuit of resilient and sustainable high-rise architecture.

3. Role of ETABS in Structural Analysis

In the realm of structural engineering, the advent of sophisticated software tools has revolutionized the way buildings are conceptualized, analyzed, and optimized, with ETABS (Extended Three-Dimensional Analysis of Building Systems) standing out as a premier choice for high-rise design. Developed by Computers and Structures, Inc. (CSI), ETABS is a state-of-the-art integrated software package tailored for the modeling, analysis, and design of building systems. Its user-friendly interface, combined with robust analytical capabilities, makes it particularly effective in evaluating complex structural components such as shear walls. The software enables engineers to create accurate three-dimensional models of high-rise buildings, incorporating a variety of materials, cross-sectional shapes, and load scenarios.

2025/EUSRM/4/2025/61662

One of ETABS's most notable strengths lies in its ability to simulate the behavior of shear walls under dynamic loading conditions, including seismic and wind forces, through both linear and nonlinear analysis techniques. Engineers can assess stress distribution, displacement patterns, and interaction between structural components in real time, allowing for informed design decisions that enhance performance and compliance with relevant building codes. The software's capacity to model different shear wall configurations—including coupled walls, core walls, and perimeter shear walls—provides engineers with the flexibility to explore multiple design alternatives and optimize the placement and dimensions of these elements for maximum efficiency. Moreover, ETABS facilitates performance-based design, enabling engineers to evaluate structural behavior beyond traditional code compliance by incorporating factors such as ductility, energy dissipation, and damage control. This is particularly crucial in seismic design, where understanding the nonlinear response of shear walls can significantly impact the resilience and safety of high-rise buildings. Additionally, ETABS integrates seamlessly with other CSI products and BIM (Building Information Modeling) platforms, promoting a holistic and collaborative approach to building design. Engineers can use ETABS outputs to inform decisions in architectural planning, cost estimation, and construction sequencing. In academic and professional circles alike, ETABS has become a benchmark tool for structural analysis, regularly featured in research and design case studies. Its application extends beyond individual projects, influencing broader trends in structural innovation, sustainability, and urban development. In summary, ETABS not only enhances the precision and efficiency of shear wall design in high-rise structures but also empowers engineers to push the boundaries of modern architecture through safe, sustainable, and performance-driven solutions.

Survey of Past Work

In recent years, the quest to optimize the seismic performance of high-rise buildings has prompted extensive research into the influence of shear wall materials and their positioning within structural frameworks. Using simulation tools like ETABS, researchers have systematically evaluated how different configurations and compositions of shear walls contribute to a building's overall seismic resilience. For instance, the study conducted by Praveen K and Chethan V R on a G+10 storied structure with varying shear wall opening conditions offers valuable insights into how even subtle modifications can drastically alter a building's dynamic behavior. Their research revealed that both the size and placement of openings within shear walls directly affect the distribution of seismic forces,

leading to measurable variations in story drift and lateral displacement. Large or poorly placed openings were shown to compromise wall continuity, thereby reducing stiffness and increasing vulnerability during seismic activity. This underscores the importance of a meticulous architectural and structural integration, where the aesthetic or functional need for openings must be carefully balanced against the imperative for seismic safety. Moreover, their findings emphasize the utility of performance-based design approaches in tailoring shear wall layouts to achieve optimal energy dissipation and structural integrity under seismic loading conditions.

Parallel to geometric considerations, the material composition of shear walls has emerged as a crucial variable influencing seismic performance, as highlighted by the work of Vineeth Vijayan and his team. Their comparative analysis involved concrete, silica fume concrete, steel plate, and composite shear walls, illustrating how the intrinsic mechanical properties of each material affect the behavior of structures during earthquakes. The study found that while conventional concrete walls provide a satisfactory performance, the introduction of silica fume enhances compressive strength and crack resistance, thus marginally improving the structure's seismic response. Steel plate shear walls, known for their ductility and high energy absorption capacity, displayed significant advantages in reducing lateral sway and controlling inter-story drift. However, it was the composite shear walls—combining the benefits of steel and concrete—that outperformed all others. These walls offered the highest resistance to lateral loads while maintaining structural efficiency and minimizing material usage. The synergy between steel's tensile strength and concrete's compressive capacity allowed these composite walls to sustain severe cyclic loading with minimal degradation, thereby presenting an advanced solution for high-seismic zones. This comparative evaluation not only broadens the material selection criteria but also signals a shift toward hybrid systems that capitalize on the complementary strengths of diverse construction materials.

Positioning of shear walls within the building plan is equally vital, a fact further evidenced by the study of Abhishek Yadav and Prince Yadav on a G+25 building where wall placements were analyzed for their impact on seismic behavior. Their investigation compared centrally located shear walls with those placed at the corners, revealing a notable enhancement in structural stiffness and a marked reduction in lateral displacement when walls were positioned at the periphery. This strategic placement acts as a seismic barrier, providing enhanced torsional resistance and creating a more stable load path for lateral forces. Central placement, though structurally feasible, tended to concentrate the seismic demand in a narrower

region of the structure, resulting in higher inter-story drifts and potential failure modes. On the other hand, corner-positioned shear walls distributed seismic loads more evenly across the building footprint, which improved the overall dynamic response. This spatial optimization not only improves safety but also allows for more architectural flexibility in core zones of the building. Taken together, these studies from sources such as IJERT, IOPscience, and IJRASET provide a comprehensive understanding that both the material used and the location of shear walls must be considered holistically. The interplay of geometry and materiality governs how a high-rise structure interacts with seismic forces, and an integrated approach combining advanced materials with optimized placements can significantly elevate the seismic performance of modern urban buildings.

4. Methodology

Literature Selection

A comprehensive literature review was conducted, focusing on peer-reviewed journals, conference papers, and technical reports published in the last decade. The selection criteria included studies that utilized ETABS for analyzing high-rise buildings with varying shear wall materials and positions. Emphasis was placed on research that provided quantitative data on structural parameters such as story drift, displacement, and base shear.

Data Extraction and Analysis

From the selected studies, data pertaining to building configurations, shear wall materials, positioning, and resulting structural responses were extracted. This data was systematically organized to facilitate comparative analysis. Statistical methods were employed to identify trends and correlations between shear wall configurations and structural performance metrics.

Comparative Evaluation

The extracted data were analyzed to compare the effectiveness of different shear wall materials and positions. Metrics such as reduction in story drift, displacement, and base shear were used as benchmarks. The comparative evaluation aimed to identify configurations that consistently yielded superior structural performance across various studies.

5. Critical Analysis of Past Work

The reviewed literature consistently highlights the significance of shear wall placement and material in enhancing the seismic performance of high-rise buildings. Studies indicate that positioning shear walls at building corners or symmetrically around the core effectively reduces lateral displacements and story drifts. For example, Yadav and Yadav's study demonstrated that corner-placed shear walls in a G+25

building significantly improved stiffness and reduced displacements compared to centrally placed walls [3]. Material choice also plays a pivotal role. Vijayan et al.'s research revealed that composite shear walls, combining steel and silica fume concrete, outperformed traditional concrete walls in seismic resistance [2]. This suggests that material innovation can lead to substantial improvements in structural resilience. However, some studies present conflicting findings. While certain research advocates for central core shear walls for optimal performance, others argue for peripheral placements. These discrepancies may stem from variations in building geometry, loading conditions, and analysis methods. Moreover, the majority of studies focus on specific building heights and configurations, limiting the generalizability of their conclusions. Another limitation is the reliance on linear analysis methods in many studies, which may not capture the nonlinear behavior of structures during severe seismic events. Incorporating nonlinear dynamic analysis could provide a more accurate assessment of structural performance. Overall, while existing research provides valuable insights, there is a need for standardized methodologies and broader studies encompassing diverse building types and conditions to derive more universally applicable conclusions.

6. Discussion

The integration of shear walls in high-rise buildings is a critical design consideration for enhancing seismic performance. The reviewed studies collectively affirm that both the material composition and strategic placement of shear walls significantly influence structural responses under lateral loads. Composite materials, such as steel-silica fume concrete, have shown superior performance in terms of reducing story drift and displacement [2]. Positioning shear walls at building corners or symmetrically around the core tends to optimize stiffness and minimize lateral displacements [3]. However, the variability in findings across different studies underscores the complexity of structural behavior and the influence of multiple factors, including building geometry, height, and loading conditions. This necessitates a more holistic approach in future research, incorporating diverse building configurations and advanced analysis techniques, such as nonlinear dynamic analysis, to capture the full spectrum of structural responses. Furthermore, the development of standardized guidelines for shear wall design, considering both material and placement, would aid engineers in making informed decisions tailored to specific building requirements and seismic zones. Such guidelines should be based on comprehensive studies

that encompass a wide range of variables to ensure their applicability across different scenarios.

6. Conclusion

This review underscores the pivotal role of shear wall material and placement in the seismic performance of high-rise buildings. Composite materials, particularly steel-silica fume concrete, have demonstrated enhanced structural resilience compared to traditional materials. Strategic positioning of shear walls, especially at building corners or symmetrically around the core, significantly improves stiffness and reduces lateral displacements. Despite these insights, inconsistencies in findings across studies highlight the need for standardized research methodologies and broader analyses encompassing diverse building configurations and seismic conditions. Future research should focus on integrating advanced analysis techniques and developing comprehensive design guidelines to optimize shear wall implementation in high-rise buildings.

References

- [1] Praveen K, Chethan V R, "Analysis of Multistoried Building with Different Shear Wall Opening Condition using ETABS," IJERT, vol. 11, no. 11, pp. 1-5, Nov. 2022.
- [2] Vineeth Vijayan, et al., "Seismic Performance of High Rise Buildings with Different Types of Shear Wall," IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering, vol. 936, no. 1, 2020.
- [3] Abhishek Yadav, Prince Yadav, "Comparative Study of Shear Wall at Two Different Position by Response Spectrum Method," IJRASET, vol. 10, no. 6, pp. 1-5, June 2022.
- [4] K. Shukla, et al., "Effective Location of Shear Walls in High-Rise RCC Buildings Subjected to Lateral Loads," Civil Engineering Infrastructures Journal, vol. 56, no. 1, pp. 1-10, 2023.
- [5] M. M. Khan, et al., "Comparison of Tall Building G+30 Based on Shear Wall System and Dual system," Engineering Research Transcripts, vol. 7, pp. 43-62, 2024.
- [6] Ega Shabarinath, MD. Parvez Affani, "Shear Wall Analysis and Design Optimization in Instance of High-Rise Buildings using ETABS," IJRASET, vol. 11.